Course Objectives: What’s the Purpose of This Course?

Welcome to the course on Personality. The study of personality addresses how and why individuals differ in their behavior patterns, if we define ‘behavior’ broadly to include thoughts, feelings, motives, intentions, and action tendencies. Personality concerns human behavioral tendencies at a broad, aggregate level. Thus, this course should help you learn ways of thinking usefully and critically (i.e., carefully) about human behavior. In addition to the goal of sharpening thinking skills, the course aims to promote knowledge of personality. Such knowledge can aid one in thinking usefully and critically about human behavior patterns, useful not only in psychology and human services professions, but also in any pursuit in life that deals with people.

Personality psychology is a "crossroads" field with links to many other disciplines. It includes both the study of individual differences and the study of the processes within a person that lead to stable behavioral patterns. This course addresses both. Biological and social antecedents of personality are both given emphasis in this course. The course format is primarily a series of talks (i.e., lecture), with in-class exercises, many discussions (sometimes structured). The course has extensive readings; you’re expected to read everything assigned.

Assignments and Grading

Your final course grade is based on the following:

6% ...credit for three “connecting with readings” responses | Submitted via email
2% ...class attendance credit
6% ...discussion-group participation credit (for evidence of participation in at least three)
6% ...for an exploratory/insight paper | Handed in
4% ...grade on outline/abstract of your research paper | at class
20% ...grade on final version of research paper | in hard-copy form
24% ...score on the midterm quiz
32% ...score on final exam

What follows is more detail on each of these components (a guide to doing well in the course).

The exams – both midterm quiz and final – consist of a multiple choice section (60% of the point total for the exam) concentrating on key concepts (definitions and examples of them), followed by a set of mini-essay questions (40% of the point total for the exam). The mini-essay questions are drawn from among the “big questions” listed at the end of this syllabus. They are these exact questions, though they may be revised during the term to increase clarity. The mini-essay questions on the midterm exam will be drawn from among the first four big questions. Those on the final exam will be drawn from all of the big questions that did not appear on the midterm. Mini-essay responses typically fill one page or less (unless one has very large handwriting). No dictionaries, thesauruses, calculators, or electronic devices can be used during the exams;
a translating dictionary for those with English fluency issues may be OK, if instructor approves it. Multiple-choice items emphasize material covered both in the assigned readings and in class.

The exploratory paper is intended to help you develop a more personal connection to the subject matter, applying concepts learned in the course to your personal experience of other people. Papers will have two parts: The first will likely involve your comments on personality assessment, based on an experience assessing your own personality. The second will likely involve any one of multiple alternatives (you choose among these). Instructions will be placed on blackboard very early in the term. The paper should have complete sentences, good grammar, reasonable organization, and at least 3 complete double-spaced pages of text. It is due on April 17.

The research paper is designed to better traditional term papers in terms of advancing student learning. The topic for the paper is chosen by you, although from a “menu” of possible topics affected by level of overall class interest. For each topic, two articles will be assigned, and at least two additional articles are chosen by you. Although multiple students may be working on the same topic, there is no joint or group product; you work entirely independently. Because papers on one topic are evaluated simultaneously, be sure that, if you are influenced by the thoughts of other students, you acknowledge that contribution accurately and openly (so as to avoid “plagiarism” – see below). Originality is one of the grading criteria.

Here is a recommended set of steps to follow in process of writing the research paper: First, read the assigned articles. You might develop a very carefully thought-out response to it (or them) – what you agree with and don’t, what you think is well-supported by evidence and what isn’t, what seems logical or illogical, what might be left out. In your best judgment, identify what you think is the most essential issue on your topic that is incompletely addressed by the assigned article(s). Second, start looking for other sources, and for each source (article) ask similar questions about each of those you find. Third, having done this, you are in a good position to prepare your research-paper outline or abstract, which must be turned in via hard-copy, must all fit on one side of one sheet of paper, and (this is true for the outline/abstract only) can be single-spaced if you wish. And fourth, once you get some feedback from the instructor and/or TA on the outline/abstract, go further to finish the final research-paper. Here, bear in mind what Gracián wrote in 1647: “good things, when short, are twice as good.” The aim is to create a paper that packs a lot of valuable thinking into a relatively brief space. It should be a minimum of three full double-spaced pages in length (not counting reference list), and at a maximum it should not exceed five full double-spaced pages in length, not counting reference list. It must be double-spaced throughout, and with a size 12 font.

The final research-paper is graded on the following TEN criteria: (a) Is typed, readable, free of gross spelling and typographic errors. (b) Is well-organized and focused, free of rambling or irrelevant sections. (c) Addresses what makes this issue (or paper topic) important, practically and/or scientifically. (d) Defines key terms clearly, especially potentially ambiguous terms that will be used frequently in the paper, and/or identifies key assumptions. (e) Considers a plurality of views, that is, identifies competing or differing points of view, or a major issue that is unresolved or on which there is disagreement, setting out clearly and effectively the arguments in favor of and against varying (that means at least two) approaches, ways of thinking about an issue, or ways of answering the main question. (f) Applies critical thinking to the research approaches described, and this might include: how good is the supporting evidence (or the rationale), how good (relevant, reliable, large effect size, large sample, etc.) is this evidence (or rationale) is, whether some other reasonable interpretation of findings is plausible but has not been ruled out, and other issues. (g) Attempts to synthesize and (even better) actually effectively synthesizes the arguments and evidence, combining all of the discussion into a reasonable conclusion, which may include recommendations for future research work. (h) Originality – indications are that (at least) the thinking is your own and (at best) it goes beyond and even challenges the “conventional wisdom” or “commonsense view” on aspects of the topic. (i) Includes use of the two assigned articles and two additional journal articles -- the two best
additional journal articles you could find, and these must be journal articles, not a book, book chapter, or internet site or page (Why? Because you should identify a scientifically peer-reviewed source.). Bear in mind, this is not a “critique the article” assignment – instead you are to use these articles in the course of trying to answer in the best possible way the basic question posed by the research-paper topic; keep focus on that question. (j) The citations and reference list in APA style (see next page for examples). For article-finding help see http://library.uoregon.edu/guides/psychology/index.html; on the more mundane side, do not include binders or covers on the papers when you turn them in, and no title page is necessary.

Papers above (exploratory paper, or the outline/abstract or final version of the research paper) turned in late lose 10% of their points for each weekday they are not turned in (starting with the due date). In general, with some course requirements, alternative arrangements for due dates may be possible with an authoritative excuse if presented in advance of an absence.

“Connecting with reading” responses are responses to assigned readings. Their purpose is to stimulate students to actively engage with readings and thus also be earlier and better prepared for class (and exams). To get full credit, you must complete three of these satisfactorily during the term. The length for a Connecting response is exactly one (full, can be single- or double-spaced) page. These should be sent as an attachment, electronically via email to the course TA, by noon on the day of class. Each Connecting response must be based on the assigned readings corresponding to a due date for the Connecting response. For each Connecting response, you must refer to the content in the assigned reading for that due date (citing specifically one or more pages in the reading). The due dates for these are provided in the syllabus (there are many due dates -- you need only get in a Connecting response in on time, for three of these dates). These papers should have complete sentences, good grammar, reasonable organization, at least two paragraphs, and fill a page (but not go beyond one page); you are free to use single- or double-spacing. Connecting responses are graded on a “pass versus no-pass” basis. Late Connecting responses are not accepted for credit, since a late paper would defeat part of the purpose of a Connecting response as defined above. If you miss the deadline for one Connecting response, you can simply prepare a Connecting response for the next due-date deadline.

You are free to choose what you write about, but here are some suggestions of things that work well for this assignment – any of these approaches might work well for structuring one Connecting response: (a) describing an idea or finding you think is very important and explaining why; (b) or an idea or finding you think is very questionable and what makes it questionable; (c) describing how content in readings relates to times, moments, or situations in your own life, such as the motivational or emotional or behavioral patterns you see in significant others in your life or in yourself, or instances where someone misjudged someone else and the content in readings helps explain how or why that happened.

There is some credit (6% of course grade) for participation in discussion groups during class sessions. Said discussion groups will be convened five times during the course, each involve about 15 minutes of small-group discussion. You get 2 (of the full possible 6 percentage) points for each one you participate in fully, as evidenced by your name signed to the discussion-groups results-sheet. You could afford to miss two of these without penalty – credit for only three of five are necessary to get full credit.

There is a small bit of credit for attendance (2% of course grade). You get all this credit automatically, unless instructor experiences repeated (i.e., on > 3 occasions) difficulties finding you in class sessions.

**Final grade** in the course will be based on the total of your points from papers, exams, etc. A range is 90% or better, B range is 80% to 90%, C range 70% to 80%, D range 60% to 70%, Fs are less than 60%. ‘+’ and ‘-’ are added to grades if they fall in the top 1/3 or bottom 1/3, respectively, of A, B, C, and D range, with exact thresholds (e.g., over 86.66 for a B+). A = awesome/outstanding, B= bueno (good!), C=aeCptable, D=deficient but creditable, F=failed the first 4 standards.
**Special Requirements for Graduate Students (Psychology 571)**

There will be special requirements for graduate students taking the course as Psychology 571. 571 students will meet at additional times outside the class time, either in-person or electronically. 571 students are expected to attend an in-person graduate student meeting with the instructor early in the quarter (typically in about the third or fourth week of the term).

**Academic Integrity**

This instructor takes academic integrity seriously. Insuring the "validity" of grades requires seeing that they reflect honest work and learning rather than cheating. **Cheating** is defined as providing or accepting information on an exam, plagiarism or copying anyone's written work. Students caught cheating will be given an "F" for the course, and UO's student conduct coordinator will be informed. The instructor retains the right to assign seats for tests, to change individual's seating for test security purposes, to require and check ID for admission to tests. **Plagiarism** is basically a form of theft: putting your name on work that is (in any part) not yours, where you have not fully identified the source from which you borrowed. Even taking someone else's ideas or paraphrasing their expression, without acknowledgment, is plagiarism. Be aware that the instructor is knowledgeable about computer-age plagiarizing techniques and how to diagnose their use. "Your responsibility, when you put your name on a piece of work, is simply to distinguish between what is yours and what is not, and to credit those who in any way have contributed" (quote is from Nancy Cotton of Wake Forest U.).

**List of Psych. 471/571 additional readings (in APA style)**, all to be made available via blackboard:
PSYCHOLOGY 471 SCHEDULE: What's Happening When

April 1
Syllabus; overview of the course
Reading Assignment (i.e., for session listed on next line): Funder chs. 1-2

April 3*
Studying individuals; the crucial, basic sources of data
Reading Assignment: Funder chs. 3-4

April 8*
Design of research; cross-situational consistency
Reading Assignment: Vazire & Carlson (2011); Funder ch. 6

April 10*
Everyday personality judgments and their accuracy; discussion groups
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 5

April 15*
Accuracy of personality judgments and their accuracy; tests of personality
EXPLORATORY PAPER is due April 17!
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 7 pp. 196-220; Saucier (2009)

April 17*
Which variables are “personality” and which are most important; language of personality
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 7 pp. 220-236 and Funder ch. 18

April 22*
The structure of personality dispositions, and their relation to ‘other units of personality’
(disorder tendencies, interests, values, worldview beliefs)
Reading Assignment: Funder ch.7 pp. 236-243; Roberts & Mroczek (2008); Dweck (2008)

April 24*
Personality dispositions: stability/change over time, sources of change; discussion groups
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 9; Bouchard (2004); Turkheimer (2000)

April 29*
Genetic and environmental influences on personality (sketching their relative magnitude)

May 1
MIDTERM QUIZ
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 8

May 6*
Molecular genetics, personality, biology, physiology, and the brain
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 10 pp. 340-353, ch. 11 pp. 376-393

May 8*
Psychodynamic personality concepts, contrasted with earlier views; discussion groups
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 12-13

May 13*
Post-Freudian psychodynamic personality concepts; humanistic/existential concepts
OUTLINE/ABSTRACT OF RESEARCH PAPER is due May 15!
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 15; Neal, Wood, & Quinn (2006)

May 15*
Habit acquisition and maintenance; behaviorism, social learning; motivation
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 16; Diener (2012)

May 20*
Emotion and affect, in relation to motivation and to personality; discussion groups
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 17; Leary (2003)

May 22*
Self and cognitive processes as part of the personality system
Reading Assignment: Funder ch. 14; Hogan and Bond (2009)

May 27*
Culture and personality
Reading Assignment: TBA

May 29 *
Personality, values, moralities, religion, and political behavior; discussion groups
Reading Assignment: Smith (2006); Hampson (2008)

June 3*
Personality applied to work and health, and personal relationships
FINAL VERSION OF RESEARCH PAPER is due June 5 at beginning of class!
Reading Assignment: Funder, ch. 19

June 5*
Final considerations; review, summary, and synthesis

June 9 (Monday), 1:00 pm, FINAL EXAM
* dates for which you could turn in a Connections to Reading response, based on the assigned reading for that day, or for some future assigned reading (only three need to be completed for full credit during term)
Big questions: The pool of potential mini-essay items for midterm and final exams

1. What is the typical way that scientists define personality? What are the most important controversies regarding how personality is defined (e.g., what kinds of variables “personality” includes and what it does not)? What do you think is the best way of defining personality (whether it is the typical way or not)?

2. Given what we know (i.e., about when personality judgments are most and least accurate, about the relative advantages of different assessment methods and types of data, and about the most important dimensions on which individuals differ from one another) – what would be the best way to measure personality characteristics if you only had a chance to use one simple approach? What would you add if you had a chance to take a more complex or multifaceted approach to measuring personality?

3. What is the best argument in favor of a “situationist” view (that behavior is driven mainly by situations, not dispositions)? What is the best argument in favor of stable dispositions (that behavior is driven mainly by tendencies intrinsic to individuals)? Considering these two contrasting arguments, and relevant research, what is the best conclusion (which one is more correct)?

4. If someone asks “how stable is personality over time?”, what is the best short answer you could give? If someone asks “why does personality change occur (what leads to change)?”, what would the best short answer be?

5. Based on evidence to date, what is the most important way in which personality characteristics reflect individual differences in biology (e.g., nervous-system function, hormones, molecular genetics)? Putting that aside, what is the second most important way these characteristics reflect individual differences in biology?

6. Why do personality differences arise? That is, if we want to account for why people differ in personality attributes, what is the most important aspect of psychological functioning that we should consider (i.e., the most important part or mechanism in the “personality system,” which might include processes related to learning and conditioning, motivation, emotion, conscious or automatic mental activity, and aspects or effects of culture)? Putting that aside, what is the second most important aspect?

7. For purposes of understanding personality, what is the most important contribution from psycho-dynamic (psychoanalytic) theory, and what is the most important contribution from humanistic or existential theory? Given the shortcomings of each theory (describe any major ones you see), which do you think is the better theory?

8. What are three important things that you would argue every wise person should know about how personality (or character or temperament) is relevant to major human fields of activity like work, close relationships, religion, and politics?

Mini-essays should include somewhere a brief (30 words or less, either in CAPS or underlined or circled) summary of the main points of your answer. Most of your response should be an explanation/justification for why you are giving the kind of answer you are giving (why that is the best way to answer the question[s]). Numbers 1-4 above are candidates to be on the midterm quiz. Any of the questions above that are not included on the midterm are candidates to be on the final exam.